# Framework for the Basin-Wide Socio-Economic Analysis of Four Proposed Sediment Diversions



August 4, 2015





#### **Proposed Sediment Diversions**

Mid-Breton

Mid-Barataria 🔺

Lower Barataria

Lower Breton

#### **Overview of Presentation**

- Literature Review
- Scenarios Being Analyzed
- Major Tools Being Utilized
- Biophysical & Socio-Economic Analysis Boundaries
- Socio-Economic Analysis Methodology
- Six Impact Categories
  - Economic Impact Analysis
  - Ecosystem Service Valuations
  - Social Interpretations
- Output of Analysis
- Feedback on Draft Framework from Panel
- Completion Timeline

## Framing of Socio-Economic Analysis Methods



# Six Scenarios Will Be Analyzed

FWOA

FWA

Scenario 1: Future without diversion action

Scenario 2: Future with only Lower Breton Diversion

<u>Scenario 3</u>: Future with only Lower Barataria Diversion

Scenario 4: Future with only Mid Breton Diversion

Scenario 5: Future with only Mid Barataria Diversion

<u>Scenario 6</u>: Future with all 4 diversions operating simultaneously

# **Major Tools Informing This Analysis**

Performed by Others

Delft3D

Ecopath with Ecosim (EwE)

Comprehensive Aquatic Systems (CASM)

> Biophysical Drivers

Performed Under this Analysis

> Ecosystem Valuation Toolkit (EVT) IMPLAN

Model

Socio-Economic Analysis Tools

#### **Biophysical Analysis Area**



#### **Socio-Economic Analysis Area**



#### **Overview of Methodology**



<u>Impact Categories</u>: (1) Commercial Fisheries, (2) Water Supply, (3) Navigation, (4) Recreation, (5) Storm Protection, (6) Ecosystem Services

## **Economic Results**

- IMPLAN Input by Economic Sector
- Inputs by Sector:
  - Changes in Costs, Expenditures, and Sales for six impact categories.
- Resulting Impacts by Sector and Parish:
  Sales, Job Creation, Tax Revenue, Income Generation



**Diversion Scenario** 

#### **1. Commercial Fisheries**



#### 2. Water Supply

**Biophysical** 

Data

 Salinity, water level, total suspended solids, nitrate



- Spatial Allocation • Municipal and private water supplies
  - Economic Analysis incr
- Business resilience, influenced productivity change, and increased utility costs







# **Ecosystem Service Valuation (ESV)**

#### ESV Results:

- Monetary values for 21 ecosystem service categories
  - o Based on published primary studies
  - Benefits beyond market revenue flows
  - Indicative of the land cover type value (non-market)





### **Ecosystem Service Classification**

#### 1. Provisioning Services

 Provide ecosystem goods that can be physically quantified and monetarily valued

#### 2. <u>Regulating Services</u>

 Provide benefits through the natural control of ecosystem processes

#### 3. Supporting Services

• Provide refuge and reproductive habitat to wild plants and animals

#### 4. Information Services

• Provide humans with meaningful interaction with nature

# 21 Standard Ecosystem Service Categories

	1. 2.	Food Medicinal Resources	15. 16. 17	Habitat and Genetic Re	d Nursery sources	Supporting Services		
Provisioning _ Services	3.	Ornamental Resources	18.	Cultural an Inspiration	d Artistic			
	4.	Energy and Raw Materials	19.	Recreation Tourism	and			
	5.	Water Supply	20.	Science an	d	Services		
Regulating _ Services	6.	<b>Biological Control</b>		Education				
	7.	Climate Stability	21.	Spiritual ar	nd			
	8.	Air Quality		Historical				
	9.	Moderation of						
		Extreme Events						
	10.	Pollination			Dive	ersion Scenario		
	11.	Soil Formation			CPRA Biophysical Models	Environmental		
	12.	Soil Retention			Delft3D; EwE, CASM	Parameters Impact Category		
	13.	Waste Treatment			Classification	Classification		
	14.	Water Regulation			Ecosystem Service Values Ecosystem Valuation Toolkit	Social Implications Literature Review		

Qualitative

Quantitative Results

#### **ESV Land Cover Classification**



**Diversion Scenario** 

#### 5. Ecosystem Services



**Diversion Scenario** 

#### 6. Storm Protection



## **Social Results**

#### Social Results:

- From existing social science literature, local surveys, and local development plans
- Descriptive results and interpretations of quantitative results
- Informative measure for CPRA to consider for outreach & planning



## **Output of Analysis**

Scenario		Econ	Ecosystem	Secial		
	Sales	Job Creation	Tax-Base Contribution	Income Generated	Service Results	Results
1-6	+\$/- <mark>\$</mark> ?	+#/-#?	+\$ / - <mark>\$</mark> ?	+\$ / - <mark>\$</mark> ?	+\$/-\$?	+/-?

### **Feedback on Draft Framework**

- Primary Social Indicators
- Overlap Between Economic Impact Analysis and Ecosystem Service Valuation
- Error Estimation
- Validation of Framework with Implemented Diversions

# **Primary Social Indicators**

Social Indicators*	Impact Categories						
	Commercial Fisheries	Water Supply	Navigation	Recreation	Storm Protection	Ecosystem Services	
Employment	Х		X	Х	Х		
Finance	Х	Х	X	Х	Х		
Institutional and Legal Protection		x			x		
Public Services		Х		Х	Х		
Human Health	Х	Х		Х	Х		
Community	Х		X	Х	Х		
Access to Natural Resources	x	x		x	x	x	

\*List expands to approximately 45 indicators, which will be informed by EVT, IMPLAN, and socio-economic literature

### **Economic Impact Analysis and Ecosystem Service Valuation**



## **Error Estimation**

#### **Biophysical Drivers**:

- Three statistical performance metrics for Delft3D, EwE, and CASM parameters
  - Percent root mean square error (RMSE)
  - Correlation coefficient
  - PBIAS (Addresses over-/under-prediction)

#### **Socio-Economic Analysis Tools**:

- Sensitivity analysis with respect to RMSE of critical biophysical parameters
- Validation with respect to implemented diversions for identification of potential errors

# Validation of Framework with Implemented Diversions



Davis Pond Freshwater Diversion (Source: USACE)



Caernarvon Freshwater Diversion (Source: USACE)



**Location Map** 

# **Considerations for Evaluating Results**

#### Groupings of Results:

- Evaluate each alternative independently.
- Evaluate each scenario against FWOA.

#### Questions We'll Be Asking:

- What major trends are evident over the 50-year analysis period?
- What values or magnitudes are significant thresholds for evaluating results?
- What important nuances can we discern in sub-categories of 3 major output types?

End Goal:

Provide valuable socio-economic results to inform CPRA's decision(s) about diversions

## **Completion Timeline**

- December 2014: Project Kickoff
- January 2015: Literature Review Complete
- January July 2015: Framing of Socio-Economic
- Analysis Methods
- August December 2015: Perform Socio-
- Economic Analysis of Six Diversion Scenarios
- Mid-October 2015: Preliminary Results
- Mid-December 2015: Final Report Due